

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,363	10/14/2005	Marie-Pascale Latorse	P/4976-19	9080	
2552 OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553,363 LATORSE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ALTON N. PRYOR -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. C

Disposition of Claims
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1.15-17 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.15-17,21-23</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Thormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)Mail Date Page 10 (s) Mail Oate	Review (PTO-948) Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) ir No(s)Mail Date.
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20090820

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/553,363

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Due to the new claims presented in the response filed 6/1/09 a new art rejection is recited below. Previous rejections not recited below are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1,15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foor et al. (WO 03/079788; 10/2/03). Foor et al. teach a fungicidal composition comprising fluopicolide and chlorothanil (page 44 lines 7 - 11, page 50 Table A Compd No. 1). Foor et al. teach that to the composition can be added diluents and surfactants (page 44 lines33-35). Foor et al. teach a method of applying the composition to vegetable and fruit crop in order to control fungi growth (page 48 line 29 - page 49 line 22).

Response to Applicants' Argument

Although Applicants acknowledge that Floor et al. disclose the combination of fluopicolide to chlorothalonii. the Applicants aroue that Floor et al. do not teach the narrow and specifically defined ratios of fluopicolide to chlorothalonil as recited in the claims. Note the claims recite fluoricolide to chlorothalonil in a ratio of from 1:20 to 1:1. The Examiner argues that Floor et al. at page 44 line 10 teach the combination of fluopicolide and chlorothalonil. This teaching reads on the 1:1 ratio of fluopicolide to chlorothalonil claimed.

New Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Floor et al. as applied to claims 1,15-17 above. Floor et al. teaches all that is recited in claims 21-23 except for fluopicolide to chlorothalonil in a ratio of from 1:20 to 1:2. Note, Floor et al teaches the combination of fluopicolide with chlorothalonil which makes all ratios of fluopicolide to chlorothalonil obvious in the absence of unexpected results. The specification provides data for the combination of fluopicolide to chlorothalonil in a ratio range of 1:20 to 1:1. The data appears to be unexpected. However, the Applicants do not provide data for fluopicolide to chlorothalonil external to the scope of the claimed ratio range of 1:20 to 1:1 to show that expected results would be obtained.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/553,363

Art Unit: 1616

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALTON N. PRYOR whose telephone number is (571)272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,363 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616